CONTINUING CMC

09-cv-04073-MMC

7	VHEREAS, the above-captioned action, <i>Hodges v. Immersion Corporation et al.</i> , filed on						
Septemb	er 2, 2009 ("Hodges"), is alleged to be a class action asserting violations of the federal						
securitie	s laws against Defendants Immersion Corporation ("Immersion"), Victor A. Viegas,						
Ralph E	dward Clenton Richardson, Stephen M. Ambler and Daniel J. Chavez;						
V	VHEREAS, on September 2, 2009, this Court issued an order in the above-captioned						
action (I	Okt No. 2) setting an Initial Case Management Conference for December 11, 2009 and the						
followin	g deadlines:						
1	. November 20, 2009 for the parties to comply with certain requirements under the						
	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Northern District of California Civil Local						
	Rules ("Local Rules" or "Civil L.R.") and Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR")						
	Local Rules regarding discovery, early settlement, and the ADR Multi-Option						
	Program; and						
2	December 4, 2009 for the parties to file a Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial						
	disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file a Case Management						
	Statement;						
7	VHEREAS, on October 19, 2009, the Court issued an order (Dkt No. 20) relating this						
action a	nd the following later filed actions:						
1	Posner v. Immersion Corporation, et al., Case No. 4:09-cv-04118-PJH, filed on September , 2009 (alleged securities class action) ("Posner");						
	Bilodeau v. Viegas, et al., Case No. 5:09-cv-04291-RS, filed on September 15, 2009 (alleged derivative action) ("Bilodeau");						
Barrios v. Immersion Corporation, et al., Case No. 5:09-cv-04412-JW (HRL), September 18, 2009 (alleged securities class action) ("Barrios");							
	Ruell v. Viegas, et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-04561-CRB, filed on September 28, 2009 (alleged ecurities class action) ("Buell");						
	<i>lemelis v. Richardson, et al.</i> , Case No. 5:09-cv-04599-RS, filed on September 29, 2009 alleged derivative action) ("Semelis"); and						
	Benson v. Immersion Corporation, et al., Case No. 5:09-cv-04744-HRL, filed on October , 2009 (alleged securities class action) ("Benson");						
7	VHEREAS, on October 29, 2009, a third alleged derivative action was filed. <i>Mello</i> v						

Richardson, et al., Case No. 4:09-cv-05137-SBA ("Mello"). On November 4, 2009, the plaintiff in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mello 1	filed an	administra	ative motion	to relate Mello	with those	cases relate	d by this	Court on
Octobe	er 19, 20	009 (Dkt N	To. 29);					

WHEREAS, Hodges, as well as the related securities actions of Posner, Barrios, Buell and Benson, are subject to the requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub.L. No. 104-67, 109 Stat. 737 (1995) (the "Reform Act"), which sets forth specialized procedures for the administration of securities class actions;

WHEREAS, the Reform Act provides for the appointment of a lead plaintiff to act on behalf of the purported class, and further provides that the appointment of lead plaintiff shall not be made until after a decision on a motion to consolidate is rendered (15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B)(ii);

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2009, two motions for consolidation of *Hodges* with the Posner, Barrios, Buell and Benson actions, appointment of lead plaintiff, and approval of lead counsel in the proposed consolidated action were filed. One motion was filed by proposed lead plaintiff John P. Loos and noticed for hearing on December 18, 2009 (Dkt Nos. 24-25). The other motion was filed by proposed lead plaintiff Norbert Muller and noticed for hearing on December 11, 2009 (Dkt Nos. 22-23);

WHEREAS, once there is a determination on the above motions for consolidation, lead plaintiff and approval of lead counsel, the parties anticipate that the lead plaintiff and the defendants will confer regarding the timing for filing a consolidated complaint and a schedule for motions to dismiss;

WHEREAS, the parties further anticipate that the timing of the filing of a consolidated complaint will take into consideration Immersion's previously announced internal investigation into certain previous revenue transactions and intent to restate certain financial statements previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Reform Act, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, discovery in this action is stayed during the pendency of any motion to dismiss (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B));

WHEREAS, counsel for the plaintiff and defendants in the above-captioned action respectfully submit that good cause exists to vacate the existing December 11, 2009 case

	1	Dated: November 11, 2009 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
	2	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP WILLOW E. RADCLIFFE
	3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	4	By: /s/ Willow E. Radcliffe
	5	Willow E. Radcliffe
	6	100 Pine Street, 26th Floor
	7	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545
	8	415/288-4534 (fax)
	9	ABRAHAM FRUCHTER & TWERSKY LLP
		JACK G. FRUCHTER
	10	One Pennsylvania Plaza, Suite 2805 New York, NY 10119
	11	Telephone: 212/279-5050
	11	212/279-3655 (fax)
	12	
	13	KENDALL LAW GROUP, LLP
LLP		JOE KENDALL
WICK & WEST I ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO	14	HAMILTON LINDLEY 3232 McKinney Avenue, Suite 700
VICK & WEST TTORNEYS AT LA SAN FRANCISCO	15	Dallas, TX 75204
FENWICK & WEST LL.P Attorneys at Law San Francisco	13	Telephone: 214/744-3000
	16	214/744-3015 (fax)
	17	Attorneys for Plaintiff Frank Hodges
	18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, with the exception that the
		Case Management Conference is hereby CONTINUED to April 30, 2010. A Joint Case
	19	Management Statement shall be filed no later than April 23, 2010.
	20	Dated:November 16, 2009 Mafine M. Cheken
	21	THE MONORABLE MAXINED. CHESNEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	22	
	23	
	24	25311/00400/LIT/1307466.3
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		CTIDLIL ATION AND IDDODOSED ODDED